

Annex B

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2022 Disabled Parking Spaces (Leaves Green)		
Date Advertised:	26th January 2022	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXXXX XX Leaves Green	<p>X XXXXXX objects to the installation of a further disabled parking space within the communal parking area in Leaves Green.</p> <p>Whilst X understands the need for this X believes the disabled bay to be moved will be kept as well as the additional space. This will total 8 disabled spaces for 7 bungalows & 14 houses which X believes is disproportionate to the local needs.</p> <p>X states that they do not have enough spaces for people to park in. X XXXXXX makes a suggestion that adjacent paved & grassed areas could be converted into extra parking. X XXXXXX also adds that 2 commercial vehicles are regularly parked in the communal parking area.</p>	<p>There are no proposals to move a disabled parking space in Leaves Green. The Order notice contained a proposal for a new disabled parking space in the vicinity of no. 32 and to remove one in the vicinity of no. 8</p> <p>Whilst the Council appreciates the parking situation in Leaves Green, it's current disabled parking policy sets no limit as to the number or percentage of total parking that can allocated for disabled parking.</p> <p>5 new parking bays were constructed in Leaves Green during the 2018/19 financial year, with 3 being in the parking area where the new disabled parking bay is proposed. 2 more additional spaces were proposed but planning permission was refused on them.</p> <p>It may be feasible to construct 2/3 additional spaces in the parking area where the new disabled bay is proposed. However, any proposal would require planning approval and funding would need to be identified from a future budget for such works.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

Cllr C Dudley - Approved, but we do need to re-assess the additional parking bays that the objector suggests.

Cllr M Brunel-Walker - Changes approved. Yes, I agree with Cllr Dudley.

Cllr S Hayes – Agree

Our response - The extra bays mentioned in the Officers comments will be added to the Resident Parking Provision priority list, so they can be considered for future inclusion in the annual programme of additional parking provision schemes that the Council currently undertakes.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2022 Disabled Parking Spaces (Holbeck)		
Date Advertised:	26th January 2022	No. of objections/comments Received:	5

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXXXX X Holbeck	<p>X XXXXXX states that there is already a disabled parking space that is incorrectly used which has already reduced parking abilities for residents. The residents feel putting another disabled space will cause more disruption and will have a detrimental effect on the rest of the parking for the residents.</p> <p>X XXXXXX states that the applicant already causes chaos and disruption with his mobility scooter by abandoning it where ever they wish.</p> <p>X XXXXXX states there has been no thought or regard to the other residents of Holbeck to be able to park their vehicles. There is just not enough adequate parking for the residents as it is.</p> <p>X XXXXXX suggests a solution to ease the parking for residents is to remove the planter which has been done in other areas and would create parking for three cars.</p>	<p>Whilst the Council appreciates the parking situation in Holbeck, it's current disabled parking policy sets no limit as to the number or percentage of total parking that can allocated for disabled parking.</p> <p>Mobility scooters are subject to the same parking laws and rules as other types of vehicle. In the absence of formal parking restrictions such as double yellow lines this primarily means that they should be parked safely and not so the causing an obstruction to other road users.</p> <p>23 additional parking spaces have been constructed in Holbeck over the last 10 years, including 5 in the vicinity of the proposed disabled parking bay. It was originally proposed to install 7 additional bays but 2 were refused planning permission.</p> <p>Given the current planning constraints we do not believe there are any further feasible locations that additional parking bays could be provided in this parking area.</p>	Proceed as advertised
Anonymous XX Holbeck	<p>The resident states that there is already a disabled parking space that is incorrectly used which has already reduced parking abilities for residents. The residents feel putting another disabled space will cause more disruption and will have a detrimental effect on the rest of the parking for the residents.</p> <p>The resident states that the applicant already causes chaos and disruption with his mobility scooter by abandoning it where ever they wish.</p>	<p>Whilst the Council appreciates the parking situation in Holbeck, it's current disabled parking policy sets no limit as to the number or percentage of total parking that can allocated for disabled parking.</p> <p>Mobility scooters are subject to the same parking laws and rules as other types of vehicle. In the absence of formal parking restrictions such as double yellow lines this primarily means that they should be parked safely and not so the causing an obstruction to other road users.</p>	Proceed as advertised

	<p>The resident states there has been no thought or regard to the other residents of Holbeck to be able to park their vehicles. There is just not enough adequate parking for the residents as it is.</p> <p>The resident suggests a solution to ease the parking for residents is to remove the planter which has been done in other areas and would create parking for three cars.</p>	<p>23 additional parking spaces have been constructed in Holbeck over the last 10 years, including 5 in the vicinity of the proposed disabled parking bay. It was originally proposed to install 7 additional bays but 2 were refused planning permission.</p> <p>Given the current planning constraints we do not believe there are any further feasible locations that additional parking bays could be provided in this parking area.</p>	
X X XXXXXX	<p>X XXXXXX states that there is already a disabled bay for 59 Holbeck and parking is already a major problem. X feels that a second bay will cause more problems and encourage other disabled residents to apply for their own bay.</p> <p>X adds that the applicant is causing problems for other residents with the parking of his mobility scooter which is being copied by other disabled residents.</p> <p>X states that the placement of the bay will make accessing the other 7 parking bays harder and cause issues for larger vehicles such as Council waste lorries.</p> <p>X also suggests that the proposed bay will prohibit future development considering the move to electric cars by 2030.</p> <p>X suggests that it would be easier to put the bay next to existing one and change the location of his back gate.</p>	<p>Whilst the Council appreciates the parking situation in Holbeck, it's current disabled parking policy sets no limit as to the number or percentage of total parking that can allocated for disabled parking.</p> <p>Mobility scooters are subject to the same parking laws and rules as other types of vehicle. In the absence of formal parking restrictions such as double yellow lines this primarily means that they should be parked safely and not so the causing an obstruction to other road users.</p> <p>Disabled parking bays have been placed in similar positions in other roads in the borough and we are not aware of issues accessing other spaces being reported by other residents or indeed from our Waste Services team with regards to waste collections.</p> <p>The Council has no plans for the introduction of electrical charging infrastructure in residential roads at this time. However, it should be noted that there remains long lengths of kerbside available where charging equipment could be installed.</p>	
X & X XXXXXX XX Holbeck	<p>The resident states that there is already a disabled parking space that is incorrectly used which has already reduced parking abilities for residents. The residents feel putting another disabled space will cause more disruption and will have a detrimental effect on the rest of the parking for the residents.</p> <p>The resident states that the applicant already causes chaos and disruption with his mobility scooter by abandoning it where ever they wish.</p> <p>The resident states there has been no thought or regard to the other residents of Holbeck to be able to park their vehicles. There is just not enough adequate parking for the residents as it is.</p> <p>The resident suggests a solution to ease the parking for residents is to remove the planter which has been done in other areas and would create parking for three cars.</p>	<p>Whilst the Council appreciates the parking situation in Holbeck, it's current disabled parking policy sets no limit as to the number or percentage of total parking that can allocated for disabled parking.</p> <p>Mobility scooters are subject to the same parking laws and rules as other types of vehicle. In the absence of formal parking restrictions such as double yellow lines this primarily means that they should be parked safely and not so the causing an obstruction to other road users.</p> <p>23 additional parking spaces have been constructed in Holbeck over the last 10 years, including 5 in the vicinity of the proposed disabled parking bay. It was originally proposed to install 7 additional bays but 2 were refused planning permission.</p> <p>Given the current planning constraints we do not believe there are any further feasible locations that additional parking bays could be provided in this parking area.</p>	

<p>X XXXXXX</p> <p>XX Holbeck</p>	<p>The resident states that there is already a disabled parking space that is incorrectly used which has already reduced parking abilities for residents. The residents feel putting another disabled space will cause more disruption and will have a detrimental effect on the rest of the parking for the residents.</p> <p>The resident states that the applicant already causes chaos and disruption with his mobility scooter by abandoning it where ever they wish.</p> <p>The resident states there has been no thought or regard to the other residents of Holbeck to be able to park their vehicles. There is just not enough adequate parking for the residents as it is.</p> <p>The resident suggests a solution to ease the parking for residents is to remove the planter which has been done in other areas and would create parking for three cars.</p>	<p>Whilst the Council appreciates the parking situation in Holbeck, it's current disabled parking policy sets no limit as to the number or percentage of total parking that can allocated for disabled parking.</p> <p>Mobility scooters are subject to the same parking laws and rules as other types of vehicle. In the absence of formal parking restrictions such as double yellow lines this primarily means that they should be parked safely and not so the causing an obstruction to other road users.</p> <p>23 additional parking spaces have been constructed in Holbeck over the last 10 years, including 5 in the vicinity of the proposed disabled parking bay. It was originally proposed to install 7 additional bays but 2 were refused planning permission.</p> <p>Given the current planning constraints we do not believe there are any further feasible locations that additional parking bays could be provided in this parking area.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>
-----------------------------------	---	---	-------------------------------------

Local Member comments

Cllr M Gbadebo - How many disabled bays are currently in the area? Are we losing an existing parking spot in creating this disabled bay? Does the resident applying for the bay have a car? I note in the comments mention of a scooter.

Our response - There is one other disabled parking bay on the entrance to the parking area from the main Holbeck access road.

The proposed bay will be marked on the carriageway and cars do park in this position at present. Whilst it is accepted that the disabled bay will be restricted to blue badge holders, the applicant currently parks in the parking area so in reality there is no overall change in the spaces available for the residents already parking there.

The applicant has a car which is a pre-requisite for the provision of a disabled parking bay to be considered.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2022		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Honey Hill Road)		
Date Advertised:	26th January 2022	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
X X XXXXXXXX XX Honey Hill Road	<p>X XXXXXXXX is objecting to the introduction of a disabled space in the vicinity of XX Honey Hill Road.</p> <p>X adds that the road itself is already congested from parked cars with some houses having multiple cars. In addition several cars belong to houses not on Honey Hill Road. These cars cause obstructions and can led to dangerous situations where dropped kerbs are blocked and near collisions at the junction of Stoney Road & Honey Hill Road.</p> <p>X XXXXXXXX adds that removing some of the parking spaces and restricting it to disabled only will only exacerbate this issue. X states that X is unaware of any need for disabled parking as none of the nearby residents have need of it.</p> <p>X XXXXXXXX states that X XXXXXXXXXXXX needs close access to their home as X sometimes struggles to walk but is not registered disabled. X also has a XXXXXX XXXXXX and being able to park close to his home makes it easier & safer to get them in/out of the car.</p> <p>X suggests disabled spaces could be made available on Stoney Road and not directly outside peoples homes. Alternatively, parking permits could also be put in place to stop non Honey Hill Road residents parking in the road.</p>	<p>Whilst the bay will be for the use of anyone with a blue disabled badge, this particular bay has been applied for by a local resident. The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them. Applicants must prove that they have reduced mobility which is backed up by a written statement by a medical professional.</p> <p>The proposal is to place one disabled parking space outside a property comprising of four flats (9A, 9B , 11A & 11B). There is no proposal to place a disabled parking bay within the vicinity of no XX Honeyhill Road which is approximately 40 metres away.</p> <p>The proposal will not affect X XXXXXXXX's ability to park outside X property.</p> <p>Residential disabled parking spaces are provided as close to the applicants property as possible whilst ensuring their positioning is not to the detriment of other road users.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

Cllr J Green - Having the disabled bay in front of the small block of flats is good location. It's far enough from the entrance to the road and there is often no other cars parked there.

Cllr A Finch - I am happy for this to go ahead.

Cllr T Brown - Not sure we had a diagram?

But as you clearly describe the location in your answer on the resident's comment page, I believe this section is not usually very busy. However, it is important to position the disabled space away from the turning for the garages (well used, I think) so that close parking on the street doesn't deter garage users from actually using their garages, due to reduced visibility on exit into Honeyhill Road.

On that basis I am happy for this to go ahead.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2022 Disabled Parking Spaces (Prescott)		
Date Advertised:	26th January 2022	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXXXXXXX Prescott	<p>The resident does not oppose the proposed disabled parking space in Prescott but states that parking is already ridiculous without taking away another space.</p> <p>The resident states that there is a disused SSE brick building that could be knocked down to provide extra parking. X adds that the grass verges have builders vehicles parked on them continually. X asks if the verges could be turned into parking areas or fenced off to stop the parking.</p> <p>X asks why the signs that are in the road concerning parking are not enforced.</p>	<p>Given the proximity of adjacent trees there is not enough available verge space to construct additional parking bays and it is likely that any such proposals would be refused planning permission due to the negative impact on the character of the road.</p> <p>It is assumed that the signs the resident refers to were erected by Berkshire County Council who were the Highway Authority at that time to enable them to enforce the part of the 'Berkshire Act 1986' which prohibits long term parking and no parking over the verges. Unfortunately, the Bracknell Council's Civil Enforcement Team cannot enforce the Berkshire Act as the authority to enforce it was not transferred to us when we became a unitary authority in 1998. As a rule, we tend to leave these signs up to act as a deterrent to some drivers from parking long term and on the verges, even though it is no longer an enforceable offence.</p> <p>Unfortunately parking on grass is a widespread problem in various areas throughout the borough and the Council no longer has the resources or funds to address this problem unless there is major ongoing parking causing significant damage to grass and particularly to trees in an area.</p> <p>The Council's position on verge parking is that although it is not encouraged due to the damage that it causes, repairs to grassed areas and verges will only be carried out if they are very badly damaged with deep ruts and likely to cause a hazard to pedestrians. At this time the Council can take no action other than placing stickers on cars that are parked when we are in an area cutting the grass.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

Cllr M Skinner - I don't have any issues with that specific bay being created as there have been no other objections from residents.

Cllr M Gibson – No comments received.

Cllr G Birch – No comments received.